March 9, 2001   LB 114

is, these are some of the things that I think ought to go along with it. Do you object to this amendment?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Let me put it this way. I don't feel that this amendment is necessary.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't think it's necessary in the sense of, well, what do you mean by necessary?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Well, I guess I'd...you said it somewhat in your previous comments that you used a fairly nebulous terminology in saying submitted to the General Affairs Committee for review. And so without delineating what the scope of the review is, reading, thumbs up, approval or anything like that, I think it probably is a little bit too vague to be of any value in adding to LB 114. And so that's why I say I don't see it as being necessary.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Quandahl, I speak in such a sing-songy fashion that sometimes people tune out after awhile. But I had said the Affairs Committee would be the repository for these guidelines so that the Legislature would have access to them and we would know precisely what these guidelines are and if we have complaints brought to us about how these checks are being undertaken pursuant to the guidelines. And we can see that based on the guidelines they are targeting areas not based on what the guidelines laid down as with criteria, I mean according to the guideline criteria, we would be in a position to know that there's a problem existing here. I want the information to be in our hands whenever the State Patrol and the commission work together to promulgate and adopt guidelines or change them. So here's the question I will ask you. What harm do you think it will do to the bill if this amendment is adopted?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: I guess I'm unsure of the harm it will do. I just don't see any benefit to the adoption of this amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, if acupuncture cannot be said to do anything good for sure, how did you vote on the acupuncture bill, yea or nay?